ISSUES QUESTIONNAIRE

This first questionnaire focused on very early ideas and issues. It was first available at the first open, public Drop-in at the end of March 2016 and also available via the website. It remained available for just over one month.

The questionnaire listed some 'prompting' issues drawn from the Town Plan, other recent local initiatives and the consultant team's own experience of likely Neighbourhood Plan issues. These initial Issues were grouped under the following themes:

- Housing
- Working and Shopping
- Getting Around
- Environment
- Leisure and Well-being
- Town Centre

For each heading people could place a tick or a cross against each of the prompting issues and then add any others of their own. People were also asked and could comment on "And what have we missed?".

Rather disappointingly (and despite small prizes being offered through a lucky draw), only 8 questionnaires were returned making any detailed analysis inappropriate.

All the prompting issues were supported. 45 other issues emerged and are listed below, though some were variations on those in the prompting lists and some (eg. Council Tax levels) were not irrelevant to the plan. As below (shown by number of repeat ticks) the only issues emerging from more than two questionnaires were the failure to deliver infrastructure in association with new developments and the need for effective flood protection.

Additional Issues

- Reuse old centre buildings for (affordable) housing for local people. ✓
- More social housing.
- Fiveways junction unsafe for drivers and pedestrians.
- Pedestrianise inner town centre/ improve for pedestrians. ✔
- Infrastructure not coming with new housing. ✓✓✓ ✓
- Lack of pedestrian routes from new housing to centre. ✔
- Bigger, better street market. ✔
- Need to get visitor views.
- Increasing traffic.
- One-way system is not easy to use, puts people off.
- More mini-roundabouts to slow people down at junctions.
- Several dangerous places for pedestrians to cross. ✔
- Southern by-pass.
- Open up all of old railway lines as paths. ✔
- Link bus timetables to trains in other towns.
- Beautiful setting for town.
- Avoid further development over the A40. ✓
- Well-served with clubs and societies but information not easy to find.
- More housing needs more leisure provision.
- Play areas need improving and need more. ✓
- Town centre shops too spread out and poor links.
- More specialist shops.
- More shops in areas on edge of town.
- Re-use empty buildings and poorly used car parks in town for start-up businesses.

- Don't just listen to loudest voices.
- More eco housing, wildlife areas, energy efficiency.
- More allotments needed.
- Poor road maintenance.
- Effective flood protection needed. ✔ ✔
- Solar panels on all public buildings and new buildings.
- People parking on yellow lines causes problems.
- Places for older people to meet.
- Better/more parking provision for new houses. ✔
- Higher quality jobs needed.
- Facilities for cyclists are non-existent.
- Make more use of riverside and river.
- Ban dogs!
- Less empty shops.
- Less charity shops.
- Free parking in centre.
- Better design of shopfronts. ✓
- Ban large vehicles from town centre.
- Speed limit enforcement.
- Fewer take-aways (and litter).
- Public toilets needed.

TOWN TRAIL (QUESTIONNAIRE) COMMENTS

This was intended as a more interesting and engaging type of questionnaire than is usual. It took the form of a problem-solving trail around the town centre. Those tackling the challenge had to use photos taken at every street or main footpath junction to tell them which direction to take next. At each junction, a question was asked about the participant's views on a particular issue, such as design or traffic. The trail ended at the Library where the completed trail and question answers could be submitted.

Only 5 completed trails were received, all from people in Ross, so no analysis was possible. Responses per issue were as follows:

1. Wish to be consulted? Email questionnaire/ Like this method of consultation, gets to others/ Like this method, need to see results/ Have given ideas.

2. Centre safe? Some minor anti-social behaviour in evenings/ No problems/ More police exp. weekend evening/ Publicise crime information better.

3. Enough for visitors? Canoe hire facility/ Poor one-way system puts off visitors, TIC not obvious, more and more frequent markets attract people/ TIC hard to find, visitors need free parking, more events, need own website?/ TIC in Old Chapel Cantilupe Road, public toilets needed and signposted.

4. Conservation standards? Old buildings OK, not so old not so good/ Old Mill at Fiveways to be kept/used, few prominent run-down premises/ Not all sympathetic in design/ Preserve and use for community events.

5. Enough sport provision? OK/ Representation of local groups poor at River Festival/ Good range of provision, not easy to find out about/ Difficult to find out what's going on – website again/ Better use of surrounding countryside.

6. New housing in centre? Eco housing needed/ No more retirement housing or low cost housing/ Self-build and eco housing, less of Persimmon type/ Housing for police, eco housing, no more Persimmon type/ Is land needed for burials, local hospice on site?..

7. Pedstrianisation? Pedestrianise High Street (bit steep for events)/ Yes/ Possible but need great care/ Pedestrianise High Street/ Small paving dangerous, retain access for deliveries.

8. Use of empty centre land? Car parking free/ No more retirement housing or low cost housing/ Starter units, shops, food market, mixed use possible/ Is car park needed?/ Need car parks, especially for visitors, should be free for special days.

9. Traffic and congestion? Congestion problems/ Congestion High Street and Gloucester Road, difficult to get from Market Place to Gloucester Road, dangerous to walk to Labels/ Difficult town to negotiate by car, Gloucester road congested, one-way system poor/ Problems: Brookend Street into Station Street, make Station Street one-way.

10. Traffic movement and parking? One-way system linked to car parks/ Maybe too many car parks, need to be free/ Need car parks nearby.

11. Shops? More service centred shops/ Shops too spread out/ Free parking helps/ More corner shops on edges of town/ Need larger shop like Dunelm, M&S Food and for clothes, too many charity shops.

12. Another supermarket in town? No more supermarkets, more attractions/ Probably Ok for large supermarkets, smaller one near residential areas perhaps/ Not another supermarket.

13. Use of old buildings? Museum, art centre, new tourist office/ Reuse good but not always residential, look at other towns / Housing/ Use space above shops.

14. Paths and cycleways? More safe paths/ Better paths from new Persimmon housing, zebra crossing by Fiveways/ Some good paths, some not so good, need them to housing/ Paths good but need more and better from new housing? More paths need more bins and to be safe and signposted.

15. Keep/improve facilities? Digital media centre/ Keep library open, some playgrounds poor, Ropewalk needs more/ Keep library – run café inside, more and better play equipment/ Public facilities to be open on bank holidays.

JOHN KYRLE HIGH SCHOOL QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire was a version of the Aims Questionnaire, slightly adapted for use with schoolchildren. The questionnaire was given out and completed in school time potentially by 140 children of various ages between 14 and 17. Not all students completed the form (some forms could described as 'spoilt') and not all answered all questions.

The aims shown in column 1 of the results below are those used in the main Aims Questionnaire with some minor changes of phrasing. Each student was asked, for each aim, to rate its importance on a scale from 1 (irrelevant) to 10 (very important), to add ideas that might help to make the aim into a reality and then to assess what score (again from 1 to 10) they thought their parent(s) might give the aim.

The chart below:

- lists responses and average scores on personal views (Score 1);
- notes any ideas suggested and
- lists responses and scores for what rents might score (Score 2)

AIM	SCORE 1	IDEAS TO MAKE THE AIM HAPPEN	SCORE 2
1. It would be good	136	More colour, flowers, bins	66 responses
to make the town	responses	Better maintained	Total score
centre more	Total score	Needs to be safer	424
welcoming, safer	722		Average 6.4
and more attractive	Average 5.3		
to be in.			
2. It would be good	126	Keep main buildings	66 responses
to look after and	responses	Restore, paint buildings	Total score
improve the	Total score	Maintain better	339
appearance of all	650		Average 5.1
the old buildings in	Average 5.2		
the town centre.	_		
3. It would be good	127	OK as they are, no more needed	66 responses
if there were better	responses	Need some more paths	Total score
walking and cycling	Total score	Manage dog mess	335
links between the	512		Average 5.0
town centre and	Average 4.0		
the river.			
4. It would be good	125	More benches	66 responses
if there were more	responses	Plenty of cafes to meet in	Total score
places to stop and	Total score	More space generally	271
talk to each other in	495		Average 4.1
the town centre.	Average 4.0		
5. It would be good	130	More signs needed, clearer/bigger	75 responses
if there were really	responses	More maps	Total score
clear signs around	Total score		341
the town centre, for	435		Average 4.5
us and for visitors.	Average 3.3		
6. It would be good	125	Good idea, make affordable, target	68 responses
if there were more	responses	younger people	Total score
flats in the (often	Total score	No more housing, spoil the environment	325
empty) spaces	556	Good for business	Average 4.8
above shops in the	Average 4.5		
town centre.			
7. It would be good	125	More local business good for town and	67 responses

to build things	responses	US	Total score
(houses, offices etc.)	Total score	Good use of place	325
on the many small,	570	Damage the environment	Average 4.9
empty sites around	Average 4.6		
the town centre.			
8. It would be good	125	Park, McDonalds, shops, cinema, offices,	65 responses
to build something	responses	housing	Total score
useful for the town	Total score		403
on the empty land	Average 5.4		Average 6.2
behind Morrisons.			
9. It would be good	125	Yes!	66 responses
if there were less	responses	More clothes shops (Top Shop etc.),	Total score
empty shops in the	Total score	more nationals, less charity shops	499
town centre.	884	More newer shops	Average 7.6
	Average 7.0	Less charity shops	•
10. It would be	123	More than enough cafes	63 responses
good to have more	responses	6	Total score
cafes and specialist	Total score		364
shops in the town	439		Average 5.8
centre.	Average 3.6		j
11. It would be	116	Less traffic into town centre	64 responses
good if it was easier	responses	One-way system is confusing	Total score
for people to drive	Total score	More places to park	364
into and around the	648		Average 5.7
town centre.	Average 5.6		/ Wellage of
12. It would be	116	More and bigger signs	62 responses
good to have	responses	Easy enough now	Total score
clearer signs to the	Total score	Less people needing to ask directions	278
various car parks.	528		Average 4.5
valious cui parks:	Average 4.6		Aveluge 4.5
13. It would be	101	More/wider pavements	62 responses
good if it was easier		More cycle paths	Total score
to cycle and walk	responses Total score	More paths	343
into and around the			
	501		Average 5.5
town centre.	Average 5.0		

Age and Gender

7 14 year old boys said boy	14 15 year olds	1 16 year old	5 17 year olds 38 total
7 14 year old girls	19 15 year olds	5 16 year olds	7 17 year olds 56 said girl

Others gave no age or gender.

COMMENTARY

- A few children scored 0 for everything or 10 for everything (most of these adding no comments).
- Overall the children scored 5/10 for the given aims, with little difference by age or gender.
- The only high support from children came for 'less empty shops' (7.0).
- Two aims scored low: 'signs around the town centre' (3.3) and 'more cafes' (3.6).
- On all but two items (where the difference was very slight), the guessed scores for parental views were higher.

- The biggest difference between children and parents was on 'more cafes' where the adult score was noticeably higher.
- The results mirror those from adults although, in general, these aims seem to be of less interest to these age groups.

AIMS QUESTIONNAIRE: SUMMARY RESULTS

- This questionnaire was put out in hard copy during June and July 2016, mainly at the Rosson-Wye Library, although a few people accessed it through other sources.
- The questionnaire listed the various aims/objectives developed to date through other stages of consultation.
- The main focus was the Town Centre but a shorter second section highlighted aims for the Wider Town.
- Those responding were asked to place a tick (for general support) or a cross (for no support) against each aim.
- There was also an opportunity, if they wished, to add comments.
- People were asked to provide their age, gender, number in household and length of time living in/near Ross.
- A few 'Lucky Draw' prizes were offered; those who wished to be in the draw were also asked to provide their contact details.
- 45 questionnaires were returned.
- Almost all respondents completed the tick/cross task and around 60% added comments.
- According to the postcodes given, almost all respondents lived in or near to Ross.

RESULTS

- Virtually every respondent ticked virtually every aim.
- There is therefore no point in undertaking any sub analysis by, for example, gender.
- Though comments were often made just by one person, these are nevertheless valuable.
- The following appeared (despite the caution about numbers) to be more common areas prompting comment.
- (NB. As is always the case, some comments were made in the 'wrong' section and some repeats. They have been left as noted.)

TOWN CENTRE AIMS

Environment

- Reduce and/or manage through traffic, especially HGVs.
- Introduce some areas/some forms of pedestrianisation.
- Include further 'greening' initiatives.
- Reduce the number of empty shops and charity shops.
- Introduce more and more regular market traders.
- There should be better signage and interpretation.
- Shopfront designs should be more carefully controlled to fit in.
- Solar/PV panels are supported if any visual impacts are addressed.
- Enhancement of the riverside is important.

Living

- Widely supported
- Affordable/social housing favoured
- Avoid over-development of town centre spaces.

Economy

- Reduce the number of empty shops and charity shops.
- Views varied on the appropriate balance between independent and national chain shops.
- Views also varied on the appropriate number of cafes and restaurants.
- A coherent parking plan and layout is needed.

Accessibility

- Look again at one-way systems.
- Support/retain the existing bus services.
- Improve the 'bus station'.
- A coherent parking plan and layout is needed.
- Make the centre safer for cyclists, especially children (on school routes).
- Road and path signage needs improvement.
- Stop charges for parking.

Social/ Cultural Issues

- The Library is of key importance.
- Improve the 'bus station'.

WIDER TOWN AIMS

- Introduce more affordable housing.
- Ensure that appropriate infrastructure comes with new developments.
- Retain neighbourhood 'corner' shops.
- No more out-of-town shopping.
- There is some support for some forms of traffic calming.
- There is strong support for more/better paths in, around and out of town.
- There should be shuttle buses from neighbourhoods into the centre.
- There needs to be a better route through town from the west.
- Important views should be protected.

Morrisons

NB. This was included in the section on town centre living but, since then, the flood risk status of the site rules out housing so it has been pulled out here as a distinct issue.

Suggested uses (other than housing)

- Park?
- Car park (shuttle bus)?
- Starter business units?